



CRM-M-36572-2024

-1-

**222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 28.07.2025

(1) CRM-M-36572-2024

Baljeet Singh @ Baljit Singh @ BabbuPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

(2) CRM-M-56119-2024

Harjinder Singh @ Reetha Petitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. L.S.Sekhon, Advocate, for the
petitioner in CRM-M-36572-2024.

Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-56119-2024.

Ms. Simran Gorla, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. This order will dispose of above-mentioned petitions as both arise out of a common FIR.

2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of present petitions praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.80 dated 05.04.2022 under Sections 379-B(2) IPC (Section 394, 397, 307, 201, 34 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that after the custody of about two years, this Court vide order dated 16.10.2024 granted interim bail to petitioner Baljeet Singh @ Baljit Singh @ Babbu and



vide order dated 19.11.2024 granted interim bail to petitioner Harjinder Singh @ Reetha and since then they are on interim bail. They submit that the petitioners have not misused the concession of interim bail and are regularly appearing before the trial Court. It is submitted that the interim bail was granted to the petitioners after a custody of more than two years, however, the petitioners have a good case on merits as well. They, thus, submit that the interim bail granted to the petitioners deserves to be made absolute.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners. She submits that complicity of the petitioners has been duly proved, who were involved in committing the offence. She has submitted that petitioner Baljeet Singh @ Baljit Singh @ Babbu is involved in two other cases, whereas, petitioner Harjinder Singh @ Reetha is involved in nine other cases. On instructions, she has submitted that out of total 18 prosecution witnesses, 05 witnesses have been examined. She also submits that the petitioners are regularly appearing before the trial Court. She has submitted that there is no complaint etc. regarding misusing of interim bail by the petitioners.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioners are facing prosecution in FIR No.80 dated 05.04.2022. Both the petitioners were granted interim bail by this Court vide orders dated 16.10.2024/19.11.2024. As submitted before this Court, the petitioners are appearing before the trial Court regularly and there is no complaint regarding misusing of the interim bail granted to them. As submitted, the petitioners had already suffered incarceration of about two years when they were granted interim bail.



CRM-M-36572-2024

-3-

6 However, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide orders dated 16.10.2024 and 19.11.2024, is made absolute subject to their already furnished surety/bail bonds before the trial Court and the same shall be treated as alive till the final disposal of the trial. The petitioners are directed to keep on appearing before the trial Court as and when required to do so and also to comply with the direction of the trial Court given by it during the pendency of the trial.

7. The petition alongwith pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

28.07.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No