

225-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33684-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 21.08.2025

MANGI LAL

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.S. Virk, Advocate and
Mr. V.B.Godara, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. SPS Khaira, Advocate for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-27222-2025

This application has been filed for placing on record
Amended Memo of Parties.

For the reasons mentioned in the application and keeping in
view the submission made by counsel for the applicant, the application is
allowed and the complainant is impleaded as respondent No. 2.

Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

Mr. SPS Khaira, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf
of respondent No. 2 and has filed Power of Attorney which is taken on
record.

1. **Prayer**

This petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS,
2023 seeking the concession of regular bail for the petitioner in FIR No.
06 dated 30.08.2024 under Sections 316(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 351(2),

319(2), 318(4), 61(2) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Patiala.

2. **Contentions**

On behalf of the petitioner

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties on the basis of compromise deed dated 31.05.2025 (Annexure P-3), with the intervention of the respectable of the society. He has further argued that the antecedents of the petitioner are clean. Therefore, prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

On behalf of the State

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the State-respondent and is not in a position to controvert the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant is also in agreement with the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and has no objection if the petition is allowed.

3. **Analysis**

Considering the fact that the parties have amicably resolved the matter as is evidence from Annexure P-3 i.e. compromise deed dated 31.05.2025 and the investigation is completed, challan stands presented on 04.07.2025 charges are yet to be framed and 26 PWs have been cited by the prosecution which is sufficient for this Court to infer that conclusion of trial shall take considerable time, therefore, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars for uncertain period.

4. Relief

In view of the aforesaid discussions made hereinabove, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything stated hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.08.2025
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*