



CRM-M-33601-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-33601-2025
Decided on : 23.09.2025

NARAIN SINGH . . . PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Manglesh Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate]
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Narain Singh, aged about 36 years	0052	26.04.2025	115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023	Samana	Patiala

2. On 01.07.2025, following order was passed:-



CRM-M-33601-2025

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Narain Singh, aged about 36 years	0052	26.04.2025	115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023	Samana	Patiala

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that, as per the allegations, incident in question took place at around 10:00 P.M. on 24.04.2025, while the parties were returning from the annual function held at Dargah Baba Sayeed Hajrat Ali Badshah on Rajla Road. In the said incident, specific injuries have been attributed to the other two co-accused, namely Prem Singh and Satnam Singh. Co-accused Prem Singh is alleged to have inflicted a rod blow on the right cheek of the complainant, while Satnam Singh is alleged to have given a gandas blow on the complainant's forehead. As regards the petitioner, he is alleged to have caused a stick injury on the forehead of the complainant, which is stated to be simple in nature.

It is further submitted that co-accused Satnam Singh and Prem Singh also sustained injuries during the same incident, and in support of this assertion, medico-legal reports have been appended with the present petition as Annexures P-2 and P-3, respectively.

3. With respect to the injuries suffered by the co-accused, it is submitted that a cross-version has already been lodged at the instance of co-accused Prem Singh, who is the complainant in that case. It is further contended that no injury attributed to the petitioner has been declared as dangerous to life or as having caused any serious harm to any vital part of



CRM-M-33601-2025

the complainant's body. In these circumstances, and considering that the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, he is entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

6. Adjourned to 23.09.2025.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court”.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 01.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.



CRM-M-33601-2025

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 02.09.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 01.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

September 23, 2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No