



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-33623-2025

Date of decision: July 1st, 2025

Jagjiwan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into his complaint dated 24.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) and to take action in accordance with law against respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was sent on 24.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, to look into the complaint dated 24.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official

respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari Versus Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

July 1st, 2025

Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No