



218 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7432-2024

Date of decision: 17.02.2025

DAMANJOT SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab &
Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No.4 to 12 as respondents No.4 and 5 in connivance with respondent No.6 and other private respondents, are harassing and threatening petitioner and to direct respondent No.2 to dispose of representation dated 21.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) and 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

2. On 02.08.2024, the following order was passed :

“Petitioner Damanjot Singh has filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and personal liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 12 as respondents No.4 and 5 in connivance with respondent No.6 and other private respondents harassing and threatening petitioner and to direct respondent No.2 to dispose of representation dated 21.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) and 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.



CRWP-7432-2024

-2-

On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, learned State counsel is directed to file status report before the date fixed.

Adjourned to 09.09.2024.

In the meantime, respondents No.2 & 3 are directed to protect life and liberty of petitioner from the hands of respondent No.4 to 12 considering threat perception, if any.

Aforesaid protection order will have no effect on any civil or criminal litigation pending between the parties”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh, submits that on the one hand, the petitioner is seeking protection to his life and liberty, but when the jurisdictional authorities tried to examine the threat perception of the petitioner dispassionately, he has refused to co-operate and the allegations made by him, against one of the police official does not exist anymore as he has already been transferred to some other police station.

4. In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed in the present petition and the same stands disposed of accordingly.

February 17, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |