



CRM-M-33517-2025

-1-

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33517-2025

Date of Decision:- 07.08.2025

Taranveer Verma

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Varlin Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the complainant (through V.C.).

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Taranveer Verma has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 81 dated 07.05.2025 under Section 69 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station City-1, Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. As per the facts of case, prosecutrix 'P' gave her statement that earlier she was married and had taken divorce through Court. Out of this wedlock, she was having three children. Two children were living with her husband and one son was living with her. She started residing with her parents at Sangrur and was doing private job. In the year 2021, she came in contact with Taranveer Verma who was serving as Manager in a gym.

**CRM-M-33517-2025****-2-**

They started talking to each other. Thereafter, he asked for her mobile phone number to which she had refused. He wanted to be friendly with her. She disclosed everything about her to him to which he replied that he was having no concern with her previous life. He wanted to meet her and also proposed for marriage. Thereafter, he developed physical relations with her several times without her consent. He kept on saying that they will get married. He was having her videos and photos in his mobile phone. He started threatening her that he will upload photos and videos on social media. He also extended threats to her and her family members. He sold her gold worth Rs. 1,50,000/- and also grabbed her money. He wanted to cheat her. Finally, matter was reported to police.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner denied the allegations altogether. It is pointed out that prosecutrix is a married lady having three children. She never took divorce from her husband. Therefore, there was no question of performing marriage with prosecutrix. Infact, it was their consensual relationship and allegations levelled against him regarding forcible rape are false and baseless. She has already duped him for Rs. 4 lacs on shopping, mobile phone recharge and her daily needs. Infact, it is she who is blackmailing him. He is ready to join investigation. Therefore, his anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report filed today, which is taken on record. Facts narrated in FIR are confirmed in status report. Inquiry was conducted by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime against Women & Children, Sangrur. As per inquiry report, it is evident that present petitioner was aware of previous marriage of prosecutrix and her children. He developed

**CRM-M-33517-2025****-3-**

physical relations with prosecutrix with assurance of marriage. Factual position became clear from Whatsapp chat and from viewing their photographs. Statement of prosecutrix was recorded under Section 183 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Annexure R-3/T). Copy of her MLR is Annexure R-2. FSL report is still awaited. There are specific serious allegations. Petitioner is required to join investigation. Therefore, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner is opposed.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Present petitioner is specifically named in FIR. Role played by petitioner was further confirmed in inquiry report conducted by police. As per allegations, petitioner time and again developed physical relations with prosecutrix with assurance of marriage and apart from this, objectionable photos and videos were also recovered. It is pointed out that petitioner is required to join investigation to recover his mobile phone and objectionable material. It is further alleged that he managed to extract money from prosecutrix and blackmailed her to viral her objectionable photographs/videos on social media. Considering the aforesaid factual position and gravity of offence, I do not find it appropriate to release petitioner Taranveer Verma on anticipatory bail and petition filed by him is, accordingly, dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

07.08.2025

*lalit***(AMARJOT BHATTI)**
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:Yes/No
Yes/No