



CRM-M-37939-2023

1

213 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37939-2023
Date of decision: 17.03.2025

NAVNEET KUMAR SINGH**....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. H.S. Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Niharika Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0082, dated 28.05.2023, under Sections 323, 354, 376, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 and 511, registered at Police Station Sector-20, Panchkula (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, present FIR has been registered on the written complaint filed by Veerpal Kaur against her husband Navneet Kumar Singh and other members of in-laws family. The complainant alleged that she got married with Navneet Kumar Singh on 22.03.2020. Prior to marriage Roka Ceremony and Shagun ceremony took place. Her parents had given jewellery and costly articles worth Rs.45 lakhs. After marriage she came to know that Navneet Kumar Singh had performed marriage at the age of 19 years with Rishu Sharma on 25.01.2011 and he was father of the daughter. Said Rishu Sharma started calling and Navneet Kumar Singh gave assurance that the said lady was trying to spoil his image. Her husband denied the allegations. During this period she became pregnant. He indulged in unnatural



sex. Her father-in-law namely Anil also used to keep bad eye on her. She has narrated one incident of 02.08.2022. The matter was reconciled with the intervention of family friend Dinesh Sani. Her husband suggested to keep his father happy and he will give his entire property to them. When she opposed, her husband gave kick blow in her stomach, as a result she suffered miscarriage. Even, her brother-in-law Parbhat Kumar misbehaved with her in the absence of family members. There was demand for Creta car and cash of Rs.5 lakhs. Ultimately, he was turned out of the house on 15.10.2021. She had given birth to a daughter on 23.10.2021. All her dowry articles were wrongly kept by her husband and in-laws family. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that earlier matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre but it failed. However, he has already joined the investigation and was granted interim bail by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 13.03.2024. Even, thereafter he has joined investigation number of times. Now, the matter has been settled. Both the parties have taken divorce by filing petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, copy of judgment dated 03.12.2024 is also annexed. He has already joined the investigation and he is ready to abide by the terms of bail order.

4. Learned counsel representing complainant has confirmed the aforesaid factual position. Learned State counsel confirmed that petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for any other purpose.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, it appears that the present petitioner has settled dispute with his wife i.e. complainant. Both the parties have already taken divorce with mutual consent vide judgment dated 03.12.2024. Petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is still



ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, at this stage, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, interim bail already granted by the Coordinate Bench on 13.03.2024 is confirmed subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

- 6. Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.
- 7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

17.03.2025
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No