

2025.PHHC.171434



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-33548-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.12.2025

Manjeet Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Neelam Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate
for the complainant/Bank.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner is seeking indulgence of this Court for the purpose of grant of pre-arrest bail in case arising out of FIR No. 116 dated 02.06.2025, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Sector 14, Gurugram, registered on the basis of a complaint submitted by Jitendra Suman, an official of ICICI Bank Ltd., on the allegations that personal loans to the tune of Rs. 71.5 Lakhs had been given by the complainant/Bank through different persons during the period from May, 2020 to February, 2024, which had not been repaid. On conducting inquiry, it transpired that 13 of such persons had shown themselves to be employees of four corporate entities. However, they were not

2025.PHHC.171434



working there and it was in connivance with the present petitioner that those loans were disbursed. The petitioner was nominated as an accused in this case. Apprehending his arrest, the petitioner has filed the present petition for grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. During the pendency of the present petition, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on the request of both the parties. A settlement has been arrived at between the parties and a written settlement has been executed between them on 28.10.2025, which has been signed by both the parties.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant has informed this Court today that the entire balance amount has been paid by the petitioner to the complainant/Bank and nothing remains to be paid by him. She has also submitted that the complainant has no objection, if the petition, seeking grant of concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, is allowed.

4. Taking into consideration the abovementioned facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and no case for his pre-trial incarceration is made out. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to his appearing before the Investigating/Arresting Officer to join investigation within a period of ten days from today or as and when subsequently required thereafter. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall abide by the usual terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

2025.PHHC.171434



5. It is made clear that any observation made herein above is only for the purpose of deciding the present petition and the same shall have no bearing on the merits of the case.

09.12.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No