



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6763-2025

Date of decision : 02.07.2025

Pawan Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Rishi Pal Chaudhary, Advocate with
Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to ensure that the petitioners are not harassed at the instance of private respondent as petitioners are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents, with a further prayer to direct the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.2 is married with respondent No.4 and out of their wedlock they have 02 children, who are living with respondent No.4. He submits that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 9 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 21.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

**CRWP-6763-2025****-2-**

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Randhir Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ladwa, Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in the Court today, same is taken on record.
6. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to decide the representation dated 21.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

02.07.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No