



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-33438-2025
Decided on: 23.07.2025

KEVAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. On 27.06.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in FIR No.79 dated 05.05.2025, under Sections 109(1), 109(2), 115, 190, 191(2), 351(2), 351(3) of the B.N.S., 2023, and, Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at P.S. PGIMS Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, although there is allegation against the petitioner that, he was carrying a gun and he caused injury(ies) on complainant's head with the butt of gun, however, this injury has been declared to be simple in nature. Moreover, the petitioner is a law student and has clean antecedents.

3. Notice of motion for 23.07.2025.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 27.06.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed status report dated 19.07.2025 in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel reads out paragraph No.7 of the status report, and submits that in fact, during the course of investigation, Section 109(1) BNS and 25 of Arms Act have been deleted. Even the recovery of the weapon i.e. *kadda* involved in the case, has also been recovered, and therefore, he, on instructions from SI Hardiyal Singh, very fairly submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 27.06.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO