



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33362-2025

Date of Decision:15.07.2025

Kuldeep alias Dipty

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant interim bail of one month to him in case FIR No.297 dated 29.10.2023 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 302, 212, 323, 341, 506, 427 of IPC, at Police Station Nathusari Chopta, District Sirsa as the petitioner is suffering from chronic Ulcer disease.

2. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is a hardened criminal and is involved in 09 more criminal cases. Learned counsel further contend that the trial Court has already directed that in case the doctors will suggest to get the treatment from some specialised hospital, the petitioner shall

be taken to PGIMER Rohtak, PGIMER Chandigarh and AIIMS Delhi, as per advise of the doctors.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. From the record, it is apparent that the petitioner had already applied from grant of interim bail before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa. The Jail Superintendent had appeared before the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa and had made a statement that they would arrange the treatment for the petitioner at MAMC (Maharaja Agrasen Medical College) Agroha, PGIMER Rohtak, PGIMER Chandigarh and AIIMS, Delhi, if required. Consequently, the interim bail application was dismissed with a direction to the Jail Superintendent that in case, the doctors will suggest the treatment to the petitioner from some multi-speciality hospital, he shall be taken to PGIMER Rohtak, PGIMER Chandigarh and AIIMS Delhi, as per advise of the doctors and shall be provided the best possible treatment, in accordance with law.

5. I have perused the findings recorded by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa in this regard and find myself in complete agreement with the said findings and there is no merit in the present petition.

6. Consequently, the present petition is accordingly, dismissed.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

15.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No