



235 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33390-2025

Date of Decision:21.08.2025

Dhana Ram

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.12 dated 29.04.2025 registered under Sections 13(2)A, 13(1)A of PC Act and Sections 409, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Vigilance Bureau Range Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the present case pertain to the year 2021, whereas the FIR was registered on 29.04.2025. He further contends that the case is based on documentary evidence and the evidence has already been collected by the police, which has finally led to the presentation of challan against the present petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that Parduman Sharma and Pawan Kumar, similarly placed co-accused have been granted the concession of bail by this Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He next contends that the petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2025 and no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, it is not in dispute that two similarly placed co-accused, namely, Pawan Kumar and Parduman Sharma have been granted the concession of bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and by this Court. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 04 months and no witness has been examined so far.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

21.08.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No