



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:22.07.2025

...Petitioner

VS.

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.K.Yadav, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.84, dated 22.05.2025, under Sections 109(1), 324(6) and 351(3) read with Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Rewari, District Rewari.
2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 25.06.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“2. One Rahul Yadav set the prosecution agency into motion by recording his statement that, on 22.05.2025, when he was going home on his motorcycle, the present petitioner, who is having previous enmity with him, hit his motorcycle with his Pick-up from front side with intention to kill him and as a consequence thereof, he fell down. Thereafter, the petitioner, one Koki Bikaner and one other person alighted from the Pickup vehicle and started beating him with sticks and inflicted injuries upon him. Not only this, they

also damaged his motorcycle. He further alleged that, the petitioner had hit his father also in the same manner and then ran away.

3. *The learned senior counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, draws attention of this Court towards the antecedents of the petitioner and submits that, he was/is involved in 19 other criminal cases and in most of those cases, he has earned acquittal. He submits that, the very purpose of highlighting the antecedents of the petitioner is to ensure that, there is no effect of his antecedents insofar as the allegations in the present FIR are levelled.*

4. *The learned senior counsel for the petitioner further submits that, the complainant has suffered only one injury on ankle, which has been declared simple in nature. Moreover, even if the allegations are taken to be a gospel truth, yet the ingredients of offence punishable under Section 109(1) of the B.N.S. are not attracted. Also, the Pick-up vehicle, which has been allegedly used in the crime, has already been recovered from the petitioner's house during the course of investigation."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. On the other hand, status report has been filed by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Rewari, District Rewari and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Pardeep, also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 25.06.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating

Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

6. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

22.07.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No