

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:148540



217

CRM-M-33226-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.10.2025.

Deepak Shakya

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.208 dated 11.05.2025 under Section 18 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

As per prosecution case, co-accused Maniram was found in possession of 2Kg 613 grams of opium. The petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the said co-accused, who stated that the petitioner was also indulging in smuggling of narcotics.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no recovery had been effected from the petitioner and he is not connected with the offence in the present case. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of aforesaid co-accused, which is not admissible in law. He prayed that custodial

interrogation of the petitioner is not required and he is ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Learned State counsel opposed the present petition and submitted that the petitioner was also indulging in smuggling. He has been specifically named in the disclosure statement of co-accused and as such he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

As per allegations, the name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case, on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Maniram, who stated that the the petitioner had supplied contraband to him. Besides the disclosure statement of co-accused Maniram, the call detail record was collected during the investigation and it was found that the present petitioner was in constant contact with co-accused Maniram. Copy of CDR had been annexed along with the status report as Annexure R-2. So, as such custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the supply chain as well as origin of the contraband and it is not a fit case where the petitioner deserves concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed. However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.10.2025.

<i>Komal</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
	Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No