

2025:PHHC:076595



CRWP No.6662 of 2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CRWP No.6662 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.06.2025

RAJESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER**.....Petitioners****Vs****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA***

Present: Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate for
Ms. Nisha Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. Present criminal writ petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for protection to their life and liberty, in view of the marriage solemnized by them against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

[2]. Upon advance notice, Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Requisite copies of the petition have already been supplied to the respondent-State by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

[3]. Paper-book reveals that marriage of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 was solemnized on 20.06.2025. The documents annexed by the petitioners with the petition including their Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) show that both are major and duly identified by their counsel, but apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8.



CRWP No.6662 of 2025 (O&M)

[4]. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, petitioners have already approached respondent Nos.2 & 3 by way of representation dated 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-7).

[5]. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Kapurthala, Punjab to look into the representation dated 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-7) and in case he finds any threat perception to the petitioners, appropriate steps be taken to protect their life and liberty and also ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners by forcibly taking them in custody on the allegations that petitioner No.2 has been kidnapped, abducted or raped by petitioner No.1.

[6]. However, it is made clear that this order may not be construed as expression of an opinion on legality and validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

[7]. In case any criminal case is pending against petitioner No.1, this order shall not be any hindrance for the Investigating agency to proceed according to law.

June 24, 2025
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No