



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CM-16501-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25178-2018
Date of Decision: 13.11.2025**

Ashok Kumar Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Ravi Badyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though initially, while passing an order on the Original Application filed by the petitioner, not only the recovery but, even the refixation was set aside but, on a review petition filed by the respondent, the setting aside of the refixation of the salary was recalled and only benefit qua the recovery of excess amount was given, which order has been challenged in the present petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the argument that the pay was also rightly fixed, which need not be refixed, was not raised at



CWP-25178-2018

-2-

the time of the review hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further with liberty to approach the Tribunal for the redressal of the grievance, in case permissible.

2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 13, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No