



CWP-21169-2021 (O&M)

-1-

282

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21169-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.08.2025**

Satyawar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside order dated 29.04.2019 (Annexure P-12) passed by the Divisional Canal Officer, Rohtak Water Services Division, Rohtak, letter No.1370-M dated 03.09.2021 (Annexure P-13) issued by the Sub Divisional Canal Officer, Balaut W/S Sub Division, Rohtak and also the demand notice dated 11.08.2021 (Annexure P-14).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that in view of the judgment rendered in the case of ***“Manoj vs. State of Haryana and others”***, passed by this Court on 22.08.2024 in CWP No.17828 of 2024, the petitioners would have a remedy of revision



CWP-21169-2021 (O&M)

-2-

under Section 20 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 before the Superintending Canal Officer and therefore he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail their remedy of revision under Section 20 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974.

2.1 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners further submits that since the petitioners have been prosecuting this litigation, therefore there would be some delay in filing of the revision petition and accordingly, he prays that the same may be condoned.

3. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondents No.4 to 7 do not raise any serious objection to the aforesaid course of action being adopted.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the respective parties, the instant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to avail their remedy of revision in terms of Section 20 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 before the Superintending Canal Officer.

4.1 It is further directed that in case the petitioners prefer revision petition within a period of two weeks from today, the objections regarding limitation may not be taken as the petitioners have been prosecuting the present writ petition before this Court.

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

20.08.2025

Himani

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes/No