



125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6606-2025**Date of Decision: **24.06.2025**

Safakat Ali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**Present:** Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for
Mr. Avtar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for writ in the nature of habeas corpus for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to trace the detainee namely Sahila from the illegal custody of respondent Nos.5 to 14.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being related as nephew of the detainee has filed the instant petition, however, mother of the detainee is also present in the Court.

3. On 19.06.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to trace the detainee namely Sahila from the illegal custody of respondents No.5 to 14 and thereby release her from their illegal custody by appointing a Warrant Officer and hand over the same to the petitioner.

Station House Officer, Police Station Tauru, District Nuh, Haryana is directed to search for the detainee namely Sahila and get her released from the illegal confinement of respondents No.5 to 14 and produce her before this Court on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 24.06.2025.”

4. In compliance of the direction dated 19.06.2025, the detenue has been produced in the Court by the police officials. After seeking required instructions from the concerned police officials present in the Court i.e. S.I. Mahender Kumar, L/Ct. Lalita, PS Sadar Tauru, Nuh, learned State counsel submits that as per the statement of the detenue, she has already performed marriage with respondent No.5 namely Mustufa with her own sweet will and wish there is no pressure of any of the respondents upon her against her wishes.

5. To examine the veracity of the allegations, a little interaction is also done with the detenue inside the chamber in the presence of the lady constable Lalita and Law Researcher namely Ms. Medha Sharma and Ms. Anmol Sharma, already deputed in the Court. On being asked repeatedly, the detenue expressed her willingness to stay with respondent No.5 as his wife and both of them have performed marriage happily and willingly with each other as there is liking for each other between them. She also states that presently she is studying in B.Sc. Nursing, 3rd year and respondent No.5 is also a graduate.

6. After interacting with the detenue and noticing all the facts and circumstances as submitted by the learned D.A.G. Haryana, this Court is of the view that there is no substance in the allegations raised through the instant petition filed by the petitioner and therefore, no further direction is required to be issued.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of having been dismissed.

24.06.2025

Parveen kumar

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No