



CRWP-6589-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(148)

CRWP-6589-2025

Date of decision: - 23.06.2025

Manisha and another

....Petitioners

Versus

UT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Bishnoi, Advocate  
for Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. P.P., UT, Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 BNSS for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 01.08.2000, as mentioned in the photocopy of her Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) at page 16 of the present petition, and petitioner No.2 was born on 19.06.2000, as mentioned in the photocopy of his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) at page 17 of the present petition and have married each other on 18.06.2025, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3). It

**CRWP-6589-2025****-2-**

is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

3. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. P.P., UT, Chandigarh, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2 looks into the representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

5. After considering the above said facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2, to look into the representation dated 18.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

6. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any criminal case.

**June 23, 2025**  
*naresh.k*

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No