



CRM-M-27500-2025 and other connected case

-1-

290 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 06.11.2025

(1)

CRM-M-27500-2025

Ajay

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(2)

CRM-M-32994-2025

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Senior Advocate assisted by
Ms. Diksha Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Pratyush Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-27500-2025.

Mr. Anmol Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-32994-2025.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok K. Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Both aforementioned petitions have arisen out of same FIR,
therefore, same are taken up together for disposal. Further, documents
annexed in lead case are referred for disposal of aforesaid petitions.

**CRM-M-27500-2025 and other connected case**

-2-

2. Petitioner(s) Ajay and Parveen have filed separate petitions under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 11 dated 24.01.2025 under Section 127(6), 137, 3(5), 351(2) and 64(2)(m) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Pillu Khera, District Jind (Annexure P-1) [date of FIR wrongly mentioned as “25.01.2025” in impugned order (P-5)].

3. Facts of the case are, complainant ‘A’ gave his statement that he was married to victim ‘AJ’. They are having a son aged about two years. On 21.01.2025, after taking dinner they went to sleep. He woke up in morning and noticed that his wife ‘AJ’ was not present in house. He searched for her at his own level but could not locate her whereabouts and finally matter was reported to police. With these allegations, present FIR was registered under Section 127(6) of BNS, 2023.

During investigation, on 25.01.2025, victim was produced by her mother. She was produced before Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Safidon and her statement was recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023. Offence under Section 137(2), 64(2)(m), 35, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 were added. Accused Ajay was arrested on 02.02.2025, whereas, co-accused Parveen was arrested on 04.04.2025. On completion of investigation, initially challan was presented qua accused Ajay and thereafter, on the arrest of co-accused Parveen, supplementary challan was presented on 23.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner Ajay in CRM-M-27500-2025 argued that allegations levelled against him are false. Alleged victim and petitioner were having consensual relationship. Nonmarital Cohabitation document dated 01.07.2024 is Annexure P-2. Copy of MLR of victim is

**CRM-M-27500-2025 and other connected case**

-3-

Annexure P-3. There was no signs of injury or marks of violence on her body. Petitioner is behind the bars. Challan is already presented. Trial may take long time. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

5. Learned counsel representing petitioner Parveen in CRM-M-32994-2025 argued that main allegations are against co-accused Ajay. They were in consensual relationship with each other. Present petitioner has nothing to do with their relationship. There is delay in lodging FIR. He has also joined investigation and facing trial. He is ready to abide by terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

6. Status report filed. As per status report, on completion of investigation challan is already presented qua both petitioners. Charges are framed and prosecution evidence is being recorded. Victim has specifically named both petitioners. Allegations are serious. Therefore, they do not deserve regular bail.

7. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. In the case in hand, victim is a married lady and mother of a small child. FIR is lodged on the statement of husband of victim. As per facts, on 21.01.2025, complainant and his family went to sleep and when he woke up in morning, he found that his wife was missing from the house. She was produced before the Investigating Agency by her mother on 25.01.2025 and further investigation was initiated. Now, prosecution evidence is being recorded. Statement of alleged victim has been recorded as PW-1. Since, her statement is recorded, therefore, there is no question that petitioners can influence the witness. Trial in this case may take long time. Considering the aforesaid facts and without expressing my mind on

**CRM-M-27500-2025 and other connected case**

-4-

the merits of case, regular bail petition(s) i.e. **CRM-M Nos. 27500 and 32994 of 2025** filed by both the petitioners namely Ajay and Parveen are allowed. They are ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, in both cases, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case mentioned above.

06.11.2025

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No