

Pronounced On : August 11, 2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR.

* * *

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.10 dated 03.03.2025, under Sections 21, 27(a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as – NDPS Act) (Section 29 of NDPS Act and Section 111(1) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 added later on), registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar.

Briefly, the case of the prosecution is that on 03.03.2025, one Gurshabad Singh was intercepted by the police. On seeing police party, he got perplexed and threw a plastic bag on the road side, which he was carrying in his trouser's pocket. He was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and on inquiry, he disclosed that the plastic bag thrown by him



contained heroin. Thereafter, he himself lifted the bag and handed over to the police. On weighing, the same was found to be containing 10 grams of heroin. Then, from his personal search, Rs.1100/- drug money was also recovered. During investigation, he made disclosure statement, on the basis of which, three more persons i.e. Mangal Singh @ Ghor, Jaspal Singh and Rajan Singh @ Talli (the present petitioner) were nominated as accused in the present FIR. The present petitioner was arrested as co-accused and has approached this Court by way of present petition for grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case and he was not named in the FIR, but he has been nominated as accused only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Gurshabad Singh. As per the disclosure statement of aforesaid co-accused, the heroin recovered from possession of co-accused was allegedly purchased from the present petitioner. No other allegation has been levelled against him. He has further urged that trial of the case is likely to take time. Therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

Custody Certificate dated 05.08.2025 of the petitioner, filed today in the Court, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition while contending that some other cases are also pending against the petitioner and he is a habitual offender. So, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

As per the Custody Certificate dated 05.08.2025 produced on record, the petitioner has already undergone custody period of 03 months



and 20 days. Trial of the case is going on. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some time. So, no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned Trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of along with the present petition.

August 11, 2025
monika

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.