


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

2025.PHHC:013960



ESA-32-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.01.2025

BIMAL KUMAR ..Appellant
Versus
MOHINDER SINGH AND ANR ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. This execution second appeal has been filed by the objector to challenge the correctness of concurrent orders passed by the Courts below while dismissing his objection petition. In a suit for specific performance of agreement to sell filed against Sh. Manohar Lal, alternative relief for recovery of Rs.13,50,000/- was decreed on 27.02.2018. In execution, half of the property was sought to be sold. The appellant who is son of Sh. Manohar Lal filed objections claiming to be the sole proprietor. Before the Executing Court, information from the official record was produced to prove that Sh. Manohar Lal and Sh. Bimal Kumar (appellant herein) were proprietors of the firm. Only half share is sought to be sold while keeping the share of the appellant intact.
2. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.
3. Dismissed accordingly.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 30th, 2025 (ANIL KSHETARPAL)
Ay JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No