



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-32901-2025

Date of decision: 16.07.2025

SAHIL ALIAS KALI BHATTI

....Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sumit Dua, Advocate, for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No. 37 dated 05.04.2025 under Sections 109(2), 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 191(3) and 190 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Division No.2, District Jalandhar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant was brutally beaten up by the petitioner and his co-accused. The petitioner gave a fist blow to the complainant which resulted in loosening of his tooth.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that concession of anticipatory bail be granted to him.

4. Notice of motion.



5. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr.Manipal Singh Atwal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

6. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner gave serious injuries to the complainant which can be fatal to him.

7. I have heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the grievous injury caused by the petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

July 16, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No