



225 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36124-2024
Date of decision: 21.04.2025

ANSHUL JAIN

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Parvesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.145, dated 27.05.2024, registered under Sections 420 of IPC (Section 120-B of IPC and Section 66 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 added later on) at Police Station Cyber Crime, Police Station Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2. On 02.08.2024, following order was passed:

“This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.145, dated 27.05.2024, registered under Sections 420 of IPC (Section 120-B of IPC and Section 66 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 added lateron) at Police Station Cyber Crime, Police Station Gurugram, District Gurugram.

As per allegations, after fraudulent transfer of money, the petitioner and Vikas Jain provided Rs.1 crore in cash to Sonu who then transferred Rs.90 lakhs to Sushil and Rs.10 lakhs was taken by the petitioner Anshul Jain. Specific allegation against the petitioner is that he



provided UCO Bank's account number to accused Sonu in which, Rs.1 crore fraud amount was transferred. It is argued that the petitioner has been involved on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. Vikas Jain, coaccused, has already been admitted to interim bail by this Court vide order dated 11.07.2024. Petitioner is ready to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and seeks some time to file status report.

Adjourned to 18.11.2024.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called by SHO/Investigating Officer and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

Status report be filed by the State before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite."

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Varinder Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 02.08.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further



custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 02.08.2024, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023 (*Erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*)

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

April 21, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No