

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.103280



(206)

**CRM-M-32876-2025
Decided on : 11.08.2025**

Dheerender Yadav @ Bheem

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.66 dated 14.05.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 115, 117(2), 3(5), 351(2) of BNS 2023 (Sections 115, 117(2), 351(3), 3(5), 110 & 61 (2) of BNS 2023 mentioned in impugned order) at Police Station BPTP, District Faridabad; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 19.06.2025, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon order passed on 06.06.2025 in CRM-M-31735-2025, titled as “Ajay Vs. State of Haryana” and order passed on 12.06.2025 in CRM-M-32313-2025, titled as “Krishna Vs. State of Haryana”.

He contends that the petitioners in the aforesaid cases are identically situated co-accused of the petitioner herein.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

To be heard with CRM-M-31735-2025 & CRM-M-32313-2025 on the date already fixed i.e. 11.08.2025.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Joginder Singh) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation, the petition is allowed and the order dated 19.06.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

August 11, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No