



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6547-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.06.2025

Naziya Begum and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate, and
Mr. Nitin Mittoo, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

Sandeep Moudgil, J.

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* thereby directing the official respondent no. 1 to 3 for the protection of the life and liberty of petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab, present in Court on advance copy, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, who assures the Court that necessary action will be taken on the representation dated 05.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of three days from today, in the light of notification No.HOME-HM-40 40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch).



- 4. In light of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the instant petition any further.
- 5. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file appeal, as is stipulated in the Standard Operating Procedure as per law, in case of any grievance left after passing of the speaking order.
- 6. The present petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

20.06.2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO