

2025:PHHC:129558



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-M-34131-2025

Decided on:11.09.2025

Rahul**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****...Respondent****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj**

Present: Mr. Vikas Tripathi, Advocate, Ms. Mandavi Pandey, Advocate,
Mr. Surjeet Kumar Mishra, Advocate,
Mr. Kunal Shahi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in the present second petition, filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.08 dated 19.04.2024, registered under Sections 120-B, 201, 420 & 406 IPC, at Police Station Cyber Police Station, Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.
2. Succinctly facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was lodged on the statement of Raunak Garg d/o Parmod Kumar. It was alleged that she was working as an Accountant Assistant in the BDPO office, Block Narnaul. On 04.04.2024, she joined a website through an advertisement link on Telegram. She was assigned a task of rating products. Thereafter, they gave her a bonus of Rs.500/-. On the next day, she was asked to purchase an agent pass, for which they charged Rs.10,000/-. After completion of the task, she was allowed to withdraw Rs.10,000/- plus Rs.2,666/- and thus, she was very well entrapped and finally she found that an amount of Rs.7 lacs was extracted from her under the pretext of withdrawal. Thereafter, they started demanding an additional amount of Rs.9,70,749/- for enabling further withdrawal. Finding fraud having been committed with her, she made a request to take legal action against the culprits. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation

2025:PHHC:129558



commenced. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner was surfaced and thus, he was arrayed as an accused. He was arrested on 26.10.2024. Initially, he approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, praying for grant of regular bail. However, learned Court after hearing both the sides, finding no merit in the petition filed by the petitioner, dismissed the same vide order dated 02.01.2025. Aggrieved against the said order, the petitioner, on an earlier occasion, approached this Court for grant of regular bail by way of filing CRM-M-3360-2025, which was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 27.01.2025. However, the petitioner again approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, by way of filing 4th bail application, but the same has also been dismissed vide its order dated 28.05.2025. Hence, the petitioner has again approached this Court by way of filing the present second petition praying for grant of regular bail.

3. Besides the arguments contained in the order dated 27.01.2025 while dismissing his earlier bail application bearing CRM-M-3360-2025, learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the complainant has already been examined who has stated that she has voluntarily entered into a compromise with the accused persons and has received an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and further co-accused Manvender Singh, who was arrested on the same date, has already been enlarged on regular bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, vide order dated 20.05.2025 and, thus, he has prayed that the present petitioner may also be enlarged on regular bail in view of he changed circumstances.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On asking of the Court, Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana, has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State. She has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Suresh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh on behalf of

2025:PHHC:129558



respondent-State and same is taken on record. She has submitted that the present second bail application is not maintainable only on the ground that co-accused has already been granted regular bail by the lower Court and the complainant has also been examined and hence, prays for dismissal of the present petition.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the earlier bail application of the petitioner bearing CRM-M-3360-2025 was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 27.01.2025 while recording detailed reasons and now no changed circumstance has been brought on record by the learned counsel for the petitioner except the argument that the complainant has already been examined who has admitted the factum of compromise and co-accused Manvender Singh has already been granted regular bail by the lower Court and these facts cannot be treated as any changed circumstance and do not entitle the petitioner to be enlarged on bail. Except these two points, no other argument has been raised by learned counsel for the petitioner. Moreover, the entire scam runs into about Rs.3,50,00,000/- which were defrauded by the accused persons including the petitioner by enticing the innocent people and the hard earned money of the victims is yet to be recovered and the source thereof is also yet to be discovered.

7. In view of the overall facts and circumstances, this Court finds no ground for the grant of bail to the petitioner and hence, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

8. Nothing said herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

September 11, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES