



CRWP-6595-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(149)

CRWP-6595-2025

Date of decision: - 23.06.2025

Kamaljit Kaur and another**....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 15.11.2000 as is apparent from her Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) and petitioner No.2 was born on 30.06.1996 as is apparent from his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2), and have married each other on 13.06.2025, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has



CRWP-6595-2025

-2-

been placed upon the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

3. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2 looks into the representation dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

5. After considering the above said facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2, to look into the representation dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

6. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any criminal case.

June 23, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No