



290 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36118-2024
Date of decision: 04.02.2025

MANISH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Harshit Ahuja, Advocate for
Mr. Davneet Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Tanvir, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of FIR No.116 dated 20.11.2023 under Sections 419, 420 IPC (Sections 204 IPC and 66 of IT Act were added and Section 420 IPC was deleted) at P.S. Cyber West, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceedings arising out therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 16.09.2024 :-

“xxx...xxx...xxx

Main Case

Let statements of petitioner and respondent No.2 be recorded with regard to the compromise, on or before 22.10.2024 by learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate concerned, as per the convenience of said Court. In the event of their statements being recorded, the Court will send copies of the same to this Court before the next date of hearing along with its report i.e.

1. The number of accused in aforesaid FIR and to report



whether any of the accused has been declared proclaimed offender(s) or any such proceedings have been initiated or pending against him.

2. Whether the compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntary without any coercion or undue influence.

3. Statement of IO regarding involvement of petitioner in any other FIR.

4. Status of the trial pending before the Court.

To await the report, list again on 19.11.2024.

Status report be also filed by respondent – State by adjourned date.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.116 dated 20.11.2023 under Sections 419, 420 IPC (Sections 204 IPC and 66 of IT Act were added and Section 420 IPC was deleted) at P.S. Cyber West, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025
manisha

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No