



124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6454-2025

DECIDED ON: 18.06.2025

PUNAM AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Addl. PP. UT. Chandigarh.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS for directing the official respondents nos. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent nos.4 to 5.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent/UT Chandigarh submits that the statement of petitioner No.1, namely Punam, has been recorded, wherein she has stated that she is residing with petitioner No.2 along with her daughter and has sought dismissal of the present petition for protection, as there is no threat perception from respondents No.5 and 6.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

18.06.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*