



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25809-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2025

Kulwant Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Tangri, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents to release the amount of Rs.84,000/-, as deposited by the petitioner, under the Employees Provident Scheme, 1995.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 3 informs this Court that, though the petitioner has annexed the requisite forms with the instant writ petition, but the same have not been submitted with respondent No.3. He asserts that in case, petitioner submits the requisite forms with respondent No.3, the same will be considered, and if any amount is found due, it shall be released, forthwith.

3. Neither the petitioner, nor anyone on his behalf is present to pursue the matter.



4. Be that as it may, considering the issue involved in the instant writ petition, coupled with the submissions of learned counsel for respondent No.3, this Court is of the considered view that no purpose would be served by keeping this petition pending. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with liberty to the petitioner to submit the requisite forms, on a prescribed format, with respondent No.3. In case, such an exercise is undertaken by the petitioner, respondent No.3 shall consider the same, and release the requisite amount to the petitioner, if found due.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

09.09.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No