

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

117

CWP-21253-2025
Date of Decision:28.07.2025

LOVEPREET SINGH

VERSUS

...PETITIONER

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate,
Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By virtue of the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged to order dated 23.01.2009, Annexure P-2, passed by the authorities under Section 68F (2) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short “the NDPS Act”). The ground for challenge to the order is that petitioner has a share in the property seized by the respondents vide the impugned order. Petitioner could not dispute that there is an alternate remedy of filing an appeal under Section 68-O of the NDPS Act, which Gurmeet Singh, his father, has already availed of.
2. As the petitioner has an equally efficacious alternate remedy, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra-ordinary powers vested in it under Article 226 of Constitution of India.
3. Writ petition is dismissed.

28.07.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No