



- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218-1

CRM-M-32782-2025
Date of decision: 04.09.2025

SAJAN SINGH (AS MENTIONED IN FIR GURPARTAP SINGH) (REAL NAME)

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. P.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 BNSS with a prayer to grant of anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.59 dated 21.05.2025, under Section 191(3), 190, 342, 351(1) of BNS 2023, Section 342 BNS deleted vide rapat No.23 dated 23.05.2025 and added Section 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.

2. On 09.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 BNSS with a prayer to grant of anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.59 dated 21.05.2025, under Section 191(3), 190, 342, 351(1) of BNS 2023, Section 342 BNS deleted vide rapat No.23 dated 23.05.2025 and added Section 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the petitioner has been wrongly referred as Sajan Singh. Even otherwise, the injury suffered by the complainant side in the present case are simple in nature and the matter has been amicably resolved



- 2-

between the parties.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner. He has also filed status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Jaitu District Faridkot on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Adjourned to 04.09.2025.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 09.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 19.08.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 09.07.2025, passed



by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

04.09.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No