



CRM-M-32638-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CRM-M-32638-2025

Date of decision: 22nd July, 2025

Rajan Kumar Yadav @ Raju @ Rajan Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Ankush Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The instant one is the second petition for grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR No. 210 dated 12.09.2018 registered under Sections 399 and 402 of IPC at Police Station Moti Nagar, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana. His previous petition bearing CRM-M-50850 of 2018 had been decided in his favour vide order dated 30.11.2018.

2. The aforementioned FIR had been registered on the allegations that on 12.09.2018 on receipt of secret information to the effect that the petitioner along with the co-accused had formed a gang for committing offences of dacoity, snatching, theft, etc. and had been planning to commit such like offences and was present in the courtyard of one closed government quarter and was making plan to commit offence of dacoity, a raiding party was formed, which reached at the informed place and



apprehended the petitioner and the co-accused while they were armed with deadly weapons and were preparing to commit dacoity. FIR under Sections 399 and 402 of IPC was registered. Recovery of two *datars*, some shirts and tires of car was effected from the petitioner. The petitioner was extended benefit of bail on 30.11.2018, after presentation of the challan in the Court.

3. As per the allegations, the petitioner absented himself on 19.03.2019. Proceedings under section 82 of Cr.P.C. were initiated against him and he was declared a proclaimed offender vide order dated 04.02.2020. He was arrested again on 11.05.2024 and is in custody since then.

4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is in custody since long. He is ready to abide by terms and conditions for grant of bail. His further detention would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, it is urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

5. Notice of Motion.

6. Learned State counsel has advance notice of the petition and has submitted that the petitioner has violated the terms and conditions of the bail granted previously to him and even declared a proclaimed person. There are chances of his absconding again, if extended benefit of bail. Therefore, it is, urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

7. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and has gone through the record carefully.

8. The petitioner had previously been extended benefit of bail. Subsequently, he has been absented himself. The trial will take considerable time to conclude as none has been examined. He is on bail in other cases as registered against him. This court is of the considered opinion that no useful



purpose would be served by keeping him in custody anymore. In view of the above discussed facts, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds by two sureties to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned. He shall appear before the concerned Police Station once on the first Monday of every month. He shall disclose his present as well as permanent address before the learned trial Court at the time of furnishing of bonds and shall also give copy of his Aadhar Card, PAN Card if any and details of his mobile phone number(s) to the learned trial Court and in case, any change in his address or mobile phone number(s) takes place, then he shall inform about the same to the learned trial Court in advance.

9. In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the jurisdictional Court shall be empowered to consider the application for cancellation, if any, and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

10. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

22nd July, 2025

Parveen Sharma

1. *Whether speaking/ reasoned*
2. *Whether reportable*

: *Yes / No*
: *Yes / No*