



CWP-25613-2017 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CM-7783-CWP-2025 in /&
CWP-25613-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision :07.07.2025

**Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and
another**

...Petitioners

Versus

Ami Lal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mangesh Goel, Advocate for applicant-respondent No.1.

Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner-Nigam.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-7783-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing in the main writ petition, which is fixed for 11.09.2025.

Notice of the application to the respondents.

Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioners and raises no objection for the grant of prayer as made in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Date of hearing in the main writ petition is preponed to today and the case is taken up for hearing.

**CWP-25613-2017**

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the award dated 25.01.2017 (Annexure P/2) passed by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala by which, the respondent-workman has been granted the benefit of reinstatement with continuity in service along with 50% back wages as well as cost of Rs.5,000/- .

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-workman submits that the similar awards as are impugned in the present petition, were passed against the other workmen also, which were challenged by the petitioner-Nigam by way of filing different writ petitions, one of which is being **CWP-24372-2017 titled as Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. vs. Faquir Chand and another,** in which similar award as present one was passed on the same grounds, has been upheld by this Court by dismissing the writ petition filed by the petitioner-Nigam, vide order dated 02.05.2024 in the case of **Faquir Chand (supra)**, hence, the present petition challenging the same award by the petitioner-Nigam, needs to be dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-workman further submits that keeping in view the order dated 02.05.2024 passed in the case of **Faquir Chand (supra)**, other writ petitions being CWPs-25324-2017, 25472-2017, 25620-2017 & 25897-2017 have also been dismissed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Nigam has not been even able to dispute the said fact.

5. Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case, where the writ petitions challenging the similar awards



CWP-25613-2017 (O&M)

-3-

have already been dismissed in terms of the order passed in **Faquir Chand (supra)**, the present petition is also dismissed in terms of the judgment passed in the case of **Faquir Chand (supra)**.

6. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

July 07, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No