



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-35980-2024
Date of decision: January 28th, 2025
.....Petitioner
Versus

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Harvinder Singh, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

- A request for adjournment has yet again been made on behalf of the learned arguing counsel for the petitioner.
2. The instant petition was filed on 23.07.2024, however, a perusal of the orders reveal that either there had been no representation on behalf of the petitioner or a request for adjournment had been made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. It is, thus, evident that the case is being adjourned time and again and the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter any further.
4. Even Hon'ble the Supreme Court on 19.11.2024 in *Nilesh Dnyaneshwar Desale Versus The State of Maharashtra: SLP (Criminal) Diary No.22040/2024* deprecated the practice of adjournments and dismissed the case for want of prosecution.
5. In the circumstances, the instant petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 28th, 2025
Puneet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE