



CWP-17342-2025 and two connected petitions

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

145

CWP-17342-2025

Date of Decision: 01.07.2025

Tarsem Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

With

146

CWP-17344-2025

Jagdish Chand and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

And

147

CWP-17347-2025

Dr. Attar Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate for respondent no.3/NCTE

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

These three petitions are being decided together as identical issues
on similar facts arise for consideration therein.

**CWP-17342-2025 and two connected petitions****-2-**

2. The petitions have been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the office order dated 27.05.2025, passed by the second respondent on the subject, 'Reversion from the Post of Elementary School Head Master (ESHM)'.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that operation of the impugned reversion order has been stayed by the Appellate Authority/Director General, Elementary Education, vide letter dated 30.06.2025 which reads as under:


An order dated 27.05.2025 was issued by the competent authority, wherein 330 ESHMs were reverted to their previous posts.

Subsequently, several of the affected ESHMs have submitted individual representations/appeals before the undersigned. The matter is currently under active examination. In light of the pending representation, the operation of the reversion order dated 27.05.2025 is hereby stayed till further order.

Accordingly, all the concerned District Elementary Education Officers (DEEOs) are hereby directed to ensure that no further action is taken in compliance with the reversion orders dated 27.05.2025, until specific instructions are issued by this office.

This may be treated as most urgent.

He also submits that a fresh order is to be passed by the Director after re-consideration of the issue which will be conveyed to the affected parties, including the petitioners.



4. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the petitions and seeks liberty to approach this Court again in case they remain aggrieved with the fresh order passed by the Director.
5. Disposed of with the liberty prayed for.
6. A photocopy of this order be placed on connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

01.07.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No