



CRM-M-32476-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201-1

CRM-M-32476-2025

Date of decision: 17.07.2025

Rohit Dadwal

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Singh, Senior DAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.194 dated 27.11.2021, under Sections 323, 342,447, 511, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur.

2. On 13.06.2025 the following order was passed:-

"In the present case, the petitioner is alleged with the offences of causing minor hurt, house trespass, criminal intimidation and forming part of unlawful assembly and the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail was rejected by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge. Hoshiarpur, vide order dated 05.06.2025 primarily on the ground that the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender under Sections 82/83 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (84/85 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita-2023).

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that petitioner has assailed the order of declaring him as proclaimed offender before this Court vide CRM M No. 32374 of 2025 which is coming up for consideration in the next week.*

3. *In the meanwhile, since all the offences alleged against the petitioner vide FIR No. 194 dated 27.11.2021 registered at Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur, are bailable and triable by the Magistrate, this Court extends the benefit of interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner*



subject to his furnishing personal bonds for a sum of Rs. 25000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, till the matter is heard on the adjourned date.

4. Let this matter be taken up alongwith CRM M No. 32374 of 2025 on 16.06.2025.”

3. Learned State counsel has stated that pursuant to the order dated 13.06.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the interim order dated 13.06.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2025
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No