



CRM-M-32425 of 2025 and 1
CRM-M-32731 of 2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206+108

CRM-M-32425 of 2025

DATE OF DECISION :- 18.06.2025

Mukul Bragta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CRM-M-32731 of 2025

Jagjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-32425 of 2025 and
Mr. Harlove Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-32731 of 2025.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yadwinder Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Yadwinder Singh, Advocate enters appearance for the complainant by filing vakalatnama. The same be taken on record.

1. This order shall dispose of two connected petitions i.e. CRM-M-32425 of 2025 for grant of bail in FIR No. 144 dated 15.04.2025 under Sections 115, 118(1), 109, 3(5), 351(1) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station City Kharar, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali and CRM-M-32731 of 2025 for



CRM-M-32425 of 2025 and 2
CRM-M-32731 of 2025

grant of anticipatory bail in DDR No. 32 dated 15.04.2025 recorded in FIR No. 144 dated 15.04.2025 under Sections 115, 118(1), 109, 3(5), 351(1) of BNS.

2. Cross FIRs have been registered by the petitioners as well as the first informant. This Court has been informed that the parties have entered into a settlement. Mukul Bragta has not been named in the FIR.

3. As per custody certificate filed by the State counsel, Mukul Bragta has suffered incarceration for a period of two months.

4. The petitioners and first informant go to the same gym and it is alleged that there was some misunderstanding.

5. Hence both the petitions are allowed.

6. Mukul Bragta is granted the concession of regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate. Jagjeet Singh is granted the concession of pre-arrest bail in view of the fact that his two co-accused namely Harjot Singh @ Jot and Anmol Sharma have been granted the concession of bail and learned counsel representing the first informant does not dispute the correctness of settlement. Learned counsel representing the complainant in DDR has also not disputed the correctness of settlement.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

18.06.2025

P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No