



205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33157-2025

Date of Decision: 27.06.2025

RAJESH BAINS

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Malhar Singh Dhami, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.63 dated 03.06.2024, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of IPC, Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in fact the petitioner and his sister had obtained loan from the complainant by mortgaging their land. It has been falsely alleged that the petitioner and his sister had executed an agreement to sell in favour of Sonu and later on the petitioner got the land transferred, just to defeat the rights of the complainant-company. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 12.02.2025 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. The police has



conducted the investigation and the documentary evidence has already been taken into possession by the police. Now the case is listed for today for framing of charge, before the trial Court. He further contends that the prosecution has relied upon 18 witnesses and the trial may not conclude in near future.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner and his sister had caused huge financial loss to the complainant and serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner has been charged for commission of the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of IPC, which are triable by the Court of Magistrate. The petitioner is stated to be in custody more than 04 months and the trial has not even formally commenced against him.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

27.06.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No