



221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32868-2025

Date of decision: 09.12.2025

Jai Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Ms. Bhawna Kumari, Advocate for  
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J (Oral):**

Petitioner has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.26, dated 18.02.2025, under Sections 8 and 12 of POCSO Act and Sections 126(2) and 78 of BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. As per facts of the case, 'AR' mother of the victim filed written complaint alleging that on 08.02.2025, her daughter i.e. victim 'V' was going to her uncle's house with milk. At about 6.45 p.m., when she was going in the street, she passed adjoining to the plot of Jai Chand. He on seeing his daughter ran after her and caught hold of her arm. He pulled her towards him and started touching her in a wrongful manner. He tried to kidnap. However, her daughter escaped and reached the house of her uncle Vinod. Application was given at Police Station Sadar, Jagadari on 09.02.2025. The lady police Jassi Kaur called both the parties and the



matter was got settled that in case Jai Chand behaved in the same manner, he will be sent to de-addiction Centre. Again on 14.02.2025 another incident took place when Jai Chand was standing at the corner of his plot wearing *Dhoti* alone. He was staring at her daughter. Her daughter got scared and disclosed everything to her grand-mother. The victim was sent to the school. The matter was brought to the notice of his family members who refused to take any action against Jai Chand. Jai Chand deliberately sit in the said plot and created quarrel. The matter was again brought to the notice of police by filing complaint and finally present FIR was lodged.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that allegations levelled against the petitioner are false. He is behind the bars since 20.02.2025. All material witnesses have been examined and other witnesses are yet to be examined. Therefore, trial in this case may take long time. Petitioner is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Earlier statement of mother of the victim is Annexure P-2 and Panchayat decision is Annexure P-3. Statement of mother of the victim recorded on 19.02.2025 is Annexure P-4. It is submitted that petitioner may be granted regular bail.

4. Status report filed. Factual position is not disputed. It is pointed out that present petitioner is specifically named. The investigation was completed and challan was presented in the Court on 07.04.2025. After framing of charge sheet, prosecution evidence is going on. Considering the gravity of offence, petitioner is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. Present petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than nine months. During the pendency of trial, statement of mother of the



Singh is also recorded as PW-5. The record shows that at present at least five witnesses have been examined including the complainant. Trial in this case may take some time. Evidence of the witnesses will be appreciated at appropriate stage. In the light of this, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, regular bail petition filed by the petitioner –Jai Chand is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned. He is further directed to give his undertaking before the trial Court that he will not contact the complainant or the victim in any manner.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

09.12.2025  
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No