



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M No.32247 of 2025

Date of Decision :17.06.2025

Arsh @ Arshdeep Singh**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and another****..... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL****Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.****Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.****Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.****VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (Oral):**

This is the first petition, preferred under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail in FIR No.43, dated 13.07.2024 under Sections 118(1) 118(2), 190, 191(3), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Bilga, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. On a complaint submitted by Ranjit Singh, the present FIR was registered. It was alleged that on 10.07.2024, at about 7.30 P.M, the complainant was attacked by few persons. Babbu @ Manjit is alleged to have given a kirpan blow on the head of the complainant and Dilpreet is alleged to have given a dattar blow on his hand. Other co-accused were also alleged to have inflicted injuries to the complainant. In so far as the present petitioner is concerned, he along with one unknown person is alleged to have given wooden stick blows to the complainant.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the role attributed to the petitioner is of having given a stick blow to the complainant and that the main injuries are not attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that even otherwise a compromise (Annexure P-3) has been arrived at between the parties, pursuant to which, a petition for quashing of the FIR (CRM-M-26894-2025) on the basis of the compromise have been filed as regards the present petitioner which is listed for hearing on 25.07.2025. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2025. He further submits that co-accused Som Pal has been granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 11.09.2024, passed in CRM-M-39229-2024. He further submits that no recovery is to be made from the petitioner, and, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer. However, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that as per his instructions, the parties have arrived at a compromise.

5. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. The role attributed to the present petitioner is that of a stick blow. Still further, parties have arrived at a compromise. The petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2025. In the considered opinion of this Court, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer.

7. In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his



furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jalandhar Rural.

Nothing observed hereinabove, shall not be deemed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

17.06.2025
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No