



CRM-M-32377-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-32377-2025

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025

RAJINDER SINGH ALIAS RAJA**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 06 dated 20.01.2025 under Sections 109,3(5),61(2), 249 of the BNS and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Mehta, Amritsar (Amrtisar Rural).
2. The case of the prosecution is that three unknown muffled face persons fired gunshots at complainant's son, namely Rajanpreet Singh in front of his house while riding on a motorcycle.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner along with Jermanjit Singh and Rohit were named in the disclosure statement. He further submits that Jermanjit Singh is the one who has given the injury to the injured and overt act has been alleged against the petitioner.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 04 months and 05 days. He

**CRM-M-32377-2025****-2-**

vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He fairly admits that the petitioner is in custody since 22.03.2025. He further submits that charges are yet to be framed and challan has been filed.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for 04 months and 05 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

09.07.2025
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No