



CRM-M-32278-2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

310

CRM-M-32278-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025

SahilPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mohit Kumar Aneja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 31.05.2025 (P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
127	01.06.2024	323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC	Matlauda, District Panipat

2. Vide order dated 01.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panipat, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been



effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the photocopy of the statements of the parties, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	One accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One victim/complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nil



5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

With regard to the status of other 3-4 accused as alleged in the FIR, learned State counsel refers to Para 3 of the status report dated 14.08.2025 wherein it is mentioned that after verification of the allegation by the SHO concerned, no other culprit was found to be involved in the crime except the petitioner, therefore, Section 34 of IPC was deleted from the case on 10.06.2024.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panipat, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

25.08.2025
Rajeev (rvs)

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No