120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6239-2025
Date of Decision: 12.06.2025

IRAMNAZ AND ANOTHER .....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Deep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

****

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondent No. 2 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No. 4 and 5. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents No. 4 and 5 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents No. 4 and 5. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents No. 4 and 5. Resultantly, a representation dated 09.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Panipat wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been

Renu Rawat
2025.06.12 02:24
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat to look into their representation/complaint dated 09.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **"Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another", JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 Superintendent of Police, Panipat to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.06.2025
renu

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Renu Rawat
2025.06.12 02:24
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document