



bail, by this Court vide orders (Annexures P-9 and P-10). Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaning purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner was involved in a serious crime and had actively participated in the commission of murder. He further submits that the petitioner has been involved in one more case under Section 323 and 325 IPC. However, he is on bail in the said case.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 7 months and challan has been presented against him. Moreover, as per the admitted stand of the prosecution, the petitioner had not caused any injury to anyone and was simply present at the place of occurrence. Thus, the prosecution is yet to lead evidence with regard to involvement of the petitioner in the present case. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused, namely, Bhanu Pratap alias Khottu and Devender alias Debu have already been granted the concession of bail, by this Court vide orders (Annexures P-9 and P-10)

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

24.07.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No