



CRM-M-32225-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

327

CRM-M-32225-2025

Decided on :29.07.2025

ROHIT JHANJI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 05.06.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
0065	23.02.2025	318, 61(2), 336(2), 338, 336(4) and 340(2) of BNS	City Barnala, District Barnala

2. Vide order dated 01.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands



CRM-M-32225-2025

verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the authorized representative of private respondent/company has also made statement to the effect that it would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	There is only one accused person namely, Rohit Jhanji nominated in this FIR, who has been facing the trial in the present case
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	There is only one complainant/victim(s) namely Trident Limited company through Authorized representative Raghav Gakhar in the present case
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	The accused namely Rohit Jhanji and Complainant/victim namely, Trident Limited Company through Authorized Representative Raghav Gakhar are party to the compromise and they signed the Deed of settlement Ex. C1.
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No other accused or complainant has been arrayed as party in the present FIR. -----N/A-----



CRM-M-32225-2025

3

5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	The accused namely Rohit Jhanji has not been declared Proclaimed Offender in the present FIR and no other proceedings against the accused have been initiated or pending.
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	The compromise between the parties, who appeared before this Court for recording their statements is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	No.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Barnala, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

8. Petition stands disposed of.

29.07.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO