



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32116-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.07.2025

Parshant alias Partik

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.100 dated 18.03.2025 under Sections 127(6)/64(1)/87 of BNS 2023 registered at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa, Haryana.


2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for about 03 months and 14 days and the investigation in the present case has already been completed and thereafter the challan has also been presented and now the case is fixed for consideration for framing of the charges. He submitted that the FIR was lodged by the father of the victim alleging that his daughter has been enticed away by the petitioner. He further submitted that it is a case where the victim-girl was 19 years of age at the time of occurrence and was a major regarding which there is no dispute and it was



the case of the prosecution itself that both the petitioner and the victim had a love affair for more than one year and on the date of occurrence they had gone by bus and stayed in a hotel. He submitted that during the investigation, it was found that both of them had booked the hotel by stating that they were married to each other. Thereafter, due to pressure from the father of the victim, who is the complainant in the present case, the FIR was lodged by him. He further submitted that both the petitioner and the victim were major at the time of the occurrence and it is a case of consensual relationship and now that the investigation in the present case has already been completed, the petitioner may be considered for grant of regular bail

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that, insofar as the custody of the petitioner is concerned, the same is correct and the investigation in the present case has already been completed and challan has also been presented now the case is fixed for consideration on the framing of the charges. He also submitted that the victim-girl was major of the age of 19 years at the time of occurrence and the allegations are that the petitioner had developed a physical relationship with the victim on the false pretext of marriage, although their relationship allegedly was more than one year long.

4. Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. He submitted that the petitioner has committed a fraud upon the victim by giving a false promise of marriage and thereafter he turned away from his promise of marriage. He further submitted that with regard to the



age of the girl, there is no dispute that she was of age of 19 years at the time of occurrence and that she was having a relationship with the petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The custody of the petitioner has come out to be 03 months and 14 days. It is a case of all the learned counsels for the parties that the age of the girl was 19 years at the time of occurrence and she was a major. The FIR was registered at the instance of the father of the victim. As per the allegations, the victim had eloped with the present petitioner. The investigation of the case has already been completed. Furthermore, it is neither the case of the learned State counsel nor has it been argued that if the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond, flee from justice, influence witnesses, or tamper with evidence. Therefore, considering the totality of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

07.07.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No