

2025.PHHC.171866



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106+219

**CRM-M-32133-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2025**

SUKHDEV SINGH

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sachin Jangra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.47178 of 2025

Application is allowed, as prayed for. Annexures P-6 to
P-8 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483
of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 88
dated 12.02.2025 under Sections 190, 191(3), 103(1) of Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023, registered at Police Station Ladwa,
District Kurukshetra, Haryana.

**CRM-M-32133-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

2. The case of the prosecution is that the deceased received 14 injuries at the hands of the petitioner and his co-accused, and he succumbed to those injuries the next day in the hospital.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the deceased had entered the house of the petitioner, as he was allegedly keeping a bad eye on the petitioner's niece. An earlier FIR had also been registered against the deceased, bearing FIR No. 71 dated 03.02.2025. It is further stated that the deceased had trespassed into the petitioner's house, which resulted into a scuffle and he sustained the injuries. The deceased was also involved in five other criminal cases and did not bear a good character.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner is involved in five other criminal cases. He has filed the custody certificate dated 08.12.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for last 09 months and 23 days and out of total 22 witnesses only 3 have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.



CRM-M-32133-2025 (O&M)

-3-

6. Keeping in view the background and circumstances, it appears that the petitioner and his co-accused may have exceeded the right of private defence at the most. Moreover, the three witnesses examined so far have not supported the case of the prosecution. Out of 22 witnesses, only three have been examined, and the trial is likely to take a long time.

7. In view of the above, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

December 09, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No