



129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CR-3559-2025(O&M)
Date of decision:01.07.2025

Mohan ..Petitioner
Versus
Savita Rani and another ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff assails the correctness of the concurrent orders passed by the courts below while dismissing his application for the grant of temporary injunction restraining the respondents from changing the nature of the property. Admittedly, the petitioner himself sold 2 kanals 8 marlas land in favour of respondent no.1 vide registered sale deed dated 14.01.2025 out of which 1 kanal 16 marlas has been sold by respondent no.1 in favour of respondent no.2. Hence, the respondents are purchasers of the property for a valuable consideration. Additionally, any construction on the property will result in value addition and not in its depreciation.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

01.07.2025

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No